# Mideast Market Administrator's

# Federal Order No. 33

David Z. Walker, Market Administrator

Phone: (440) 826-3220 Toll Free: (888) 751-3220

Email: cevelandma1@sprynet.com WebPage: www.fmmaclev.com

**June 2003** 



O-AT-KA Milk Products Cooperative, Inc., has requested that USDA hold an emergency public hearing to consider a proposal to amend all 11 Federal milk m arketing orders.

O-AT-KA's proposal would reclassify evaporated milk in consumer-type packages from a Class III product to a Class IV product. Milnot Holding Corporation and Diehl, Inc., also have asked that sweetened condensed milk in consumer-type packages be reclassified from Class III to Class IV.

Copies of the proposals may be obtained from Antoin ette Carter, Marketing Specialist, USDA/AMS/Dairy Programs, Order Formulation and Enforcement Branch, STOP 0231–Room 2971, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-0231, (202)690-3465, e-mail: Antoin ette. Carter@usda.gov.

These proposals have not yet been approved for inclusion in a Notice of Hearing. Before deciding whether a hearing should be held, USDA is providing interested parties an opportunity to submit additional proposals regarding the reclassification of products in Class III and Class IV.

The proposals should be mailed to: Deputy Administrator, USDA/AMS/Dairy Programs, STOP 0225–Room 2968, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-0225, by June 30, 2003. Each proposal should be accompanied by a brief but comprehensive statement on the need for the proposal. The statement will be used in deciding whether the proposals should be considered if a hearing to amend the orders is to be held.

A hearing would be limited to proposals included in a hearing notice. How ever, appropriate modifications of the proposals included in the notice also m ay be considered at the hearing if the modifications would not enlarge the marketing area or regulate persons not now subject to the order. If USDA concludes that a hearing should be held, all known interested persons will be mailed a copy of the hearing notice. Anyone who desires to present evidence on proposals set forth in the hearing notice will have an opportunity to do so at the hearing.

Once a hearing notice is issued and until the issuance of a final decision, USDA employees involved in the decisional process may not discuss the merits of a proceeding on an exparte basis with any persons having an interest in the proceeding. For this purpose, the Market Adm in istrators and their staffs are considered to be involved in the decisional process. Thus, it is suggested that any discussions that you may wish to have with USDA personnel regarding hearing

proposals be initiated soon. Procedural matters may be discussed at any time.

If you have any questions concerning the filing of the proposals or desire a copy of the present order, please contact this office.

#### APRIL MILK PRODUCTION UP 0.8 PERCENT

Milk production in the 20 major States during April totaled 12.7 billion pounds, up 0.8 percent from April 2002. March revised production, at 13.0 billion pounds, was up 1.2 percent from March 2002. The March revision represented a decrease of 0.2 percent or 27 million pounds from last month's preliminary production estimate.

Production per cow in the 20 major States averaged 1,625 pounds for April, 4 pounds above April 2002.

The number of milk cows on farms in the 20 major States was 7.80 million head, 42,000 head more than April 2002, but 11,000 head less than March 2003.

The Mideast Marketing Area has four states represented in the 20 major states used above. They are Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Pennsylvania. Milk production in these Mideast states during April totaled 2.0 billion pounds, the same as April 2002.

Production percow in the Mideast states averaged 1,573 pounds for April, 17 pounds above April 2002.

The number of cow son farms in the Mideast states was 1.3m illion head, 3,000 head less than April 2002.

MAY 2003 POOL SUMMARY							
Classification of Producer Milk Percent							
Class I	562,54	1,788	36.7				
Class II	233,399	9,394	15.2				
Class III	658,729	9,700	43.0				
Class IV	78,82	4,051	5.1				
Total	1,533,494	1,933	100.0				
Producer Prices							
Producer Price Dif	fere n tia l	\$0.9	2 /cwt				
Butterfat Price		1.151	2 / lb				
Protein Price		1.927	5 / lb				
Other Solids Price (0.0144) / lb							
Somatic C ell Adju s	Somatic C ell Adjustment Rate 0.00057 / cwt						
Statistical Uniform	Price	10.6	3 /cwt				

### ANNOUNCEMENT OF PRODUCER PRICES

#### Federal Order No. 33

#### **MAY 2003**

#### COMPUTATION OF PRODUCER PRICE DIFFERENTIAL

			SKIM /		NONFAT	OTHER		
	<b>POUNDS</b>	<b>BUTTERFAT</b>	<u>PROTEIN</u>		<b>SOLIDS</b>	SOLIDS	PRICE	<u>VALUE</u>
Class I Skim Value			552,125,177				\$ 791 / cwt	\$ 43,673,101.51
Class I Butterfat		10,416,611					1.1662 / lb	12,147,851.73
Class I Location Differential	562,541,788							(282,351.70)
Class II SNF Value				19	9,715,089		0.7344 / lb	14,478,76135
Class II Butterfat		15,477,152					1.1582 / lb	17,925,637.42
Class III Protein Value			19,623,684				1.9275 / lb	37,824,65094
Class III Other Solids Value						37,824,322	(0.0144)/ lb	(544,670.23)
Class III Butterfat		23,078,618					1.1512 / lb	26,568,105.06
Class IV SNF Value				(	6,521,094		0.6574 / lb	428696721
Class IV Butterfat		6,605,449					1.1512 / lb	7,604,19290
Somatic Cell Value II / III / IV	1 522 404 022	55 577 020	45 672 469			00.054.002		336893.07
TOTAL PRODUCER MILK VALUE	1,533,494,933	55,577,830	45,672,468			88,054,893		\$164,019,13926
Overages						\$ 19874.30		
Beginning Inventory						4297085		
TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS						12,7005		\$ 62,845.15
								<del></del>
TOTAL HANDLER OBLIGATIONS								\$ 164,081,984.41
Total Prote in Value			45,672,468	lh c	@	\$19275		\$ (88033682.18)
Total Other Solids Value			88,054,893		@	(0.0144)		126799046
Butterfat Value			55,577,830		@	11512		(63,981,197.92)
Total Somatic Cell Values			33,377,030	103	e	11312		(490,087.04)
TOTALS								\$ 12,845,007.73
								+ -=,0:0;00:0:0
Net Producer Location Adjustments								\$ 1,272,448.11
1/2 Unobligated Balance Producer Settler	n ent Fund							718,000.00
Total - Divided by Total Pounds			1,533,494,933	lbs		0.9674278		\$ 14,835,455.84
Rate of Cash Reserve			, -, - ,			(0.0474278)		(727,30291)
PRODUCER PRICE DIFFERENTIAL	L at Cuyahoga County, o	<i>OH</i> *	1,533,494,933			\$0.92 / cwt		\$ 14,108,152.93

CON	лрог	NENT	PRICES

#### COMPUTATION OF UNIFORM PRICE

					-
	Ma	ay			May
	2003	2002		2003	2002
Butterfat Price	\$11512 / lb	\$1.1433 / lb	Class III Price - 3.5% BF	\$ 9.71	\$10.82
Prote in Price	19275 / lb	22097 / lb	Producer Price Differential*	0.92	0.81
Other Solids Price	(0.0144) / lb	00371 / lb	Statistical Uniform Price	\$10.63	\$11.63
Somatic Cell Adjustment Rate	0.00057 / cwt	0.00062 / cwt			
Nonfat Solids Price	06574 / lb	0.7572 / lb			

#### CLASS PRICES

\* Subjec

#### CLASSIFICATION OF PRODUCER MILK

	I	May		1	May
	2003	2002		2003	<u>2002</u>
Class I*	\$11.71	\$1326		Product lbs.	Product lbs.
Class II	1043	1129	Class I	562,541,788	557,213,864
Class III	9.71	1082	Class II	233,399,394	236,181,123
Class IV	9.74	1057	Class III	658,729,700	848,024,479
			Class IV	78,824,051	93,789,845
ct to Location Adjustr	nent.		Tota1	1,533,494,933	1,735,209,311

#### **ORDER 33 MARKET SUMMARY**

The Producer Price Differential For the Mideast Marketing Area for May 2003 was \$0.92 and the Statistical Uniform Price was \$10.63 for the month. The Statistical Uniform Price is up 16 cents from last month, and is \$1.00 lower than May 2002.

The Producer Butterfat Price of \$1.1512 per pound increased 0.09 cents from April 2003 and is down 0.79 cents from a year ago. The Protein Price of \$1.9275 is up12.69 cents from last month and is down 2822 cents from May 2002. The Other Solids Price in May was \$-0.0144 per pound, a decrease from last month's price of \$-0.0008 and a decrease of 5.15 cents from last May. The Other Solids Price was negative due to the average wholesale price of dry whey powder being less than the make allow ance price of 15.9 cents for the month. The Somatic C ell Adjustment rate for May was

\$0.00057.

May producer receipts of 1.53 billion pounds were 4.1 percent higher than April 2003, and 11.6 percent lower than May 2002 production of 1.74 billion pounds. Producer milk allocated to Class I accounted for 36.7 percent of the total producer milk in May 2003, more than the 36.2 percent in April and more than the 32.1 percent in May 2002. A total of 10,312 producers were pooled on the Mideast Order with an average daily delivery of 4,797 pounds compared to 11,737 producers pooled in May 2002 with an average daily delivery of 4,769 pounds.

The market average content of producer milk w as as follows: Butterfat 3.62%; Protein 2.98%; Other Solids 5.74% and Nonfat Solids 8.72%.

#### VENEMAN ANNOUNCES NATIONAL FLUID MILK PROCESSOR PROMOTION BOARD APPOINTMENTS

Agriculture Secretary Ann M. Veneman announced the appointment of six incumbents and one new member to the National Fluid Milk Processor Promotion Board.

Re-appointed to serve a second term are: James W. Turner, Mem phis, Tenn. (Region 9); Richard Walrack, City of Industry, Calif. (Region 15); and Robert E. Baker, Omaha, Neb. (At-Large Public).

Appointed to serve their first full term after filling a vacancy lasting less than 18 months are: Michael F. Nosewicz, Cincinnati, Ohio (Region 3); William R. McC abe, Orrville, Ohio (Region 6); and Lawrence V. Jackson, Pleasanton, Calif. (Region 12).

Newly appointed to serve her first term is Susan D. Meadows, Dallas, Texas (At-Large Processor).

The appointments expire on June 30, 2006.

The National Fluid Milk Processor Promotion Board is composed of 15 fluid milk processors from 15 geographic regions, and five atlarge members. At least three at-large members must be fluid milk processors and at least one must be from the general public. The board was established by the Fluid Milk Promotion Act of 1990 to develop and administer a coordinated program of advertising and promotion to increase the demand for fluid milk products.

The national fluidmilk program is financed by a mandatory 20-cent per hundredweight assessment on all fluid milk processed and marketed commercially in consumer-type packages in the contiguous 48 states and the District of Colum bia. Processors who commercially process and market 3,000,000 pounds or less per month, excluding those fluid milk products delivered to the consumer residences, are exempt from the assessment.

 $USDA's\ Agricultural\ Marketing\ Service\ monitors\ the\ operations of\ the\ board\ .\ More\ in\ fo\ rmation\ is\ at \ \underline{http://www.ams.usda.gov/dairy/dairyrp.htm}.$ 

# COMMODITY COSTS AND RETURNS: MONTHLY MILK COSTS OF PRODUCTION

Users of enterprise cost of production (COP) data have expressed considerable interestin having milk cost estimates on a monthly basis. Federal budget conferees noted that "monthly production calculations (for milk) are a valuable tool and helpful to Congress in developing dairy policy." Congress included language in USDA's FY 2003 appropriation that strongly urged USDA to m ake available monthly estimates of COP for milk production in various areas of the United States. To comply with this request, ERS has begun reporting monthly milk COP by State (where data are sufficient to support a State estimate), dating from January 2003. State-level estimates were chosen because the survey data that underlie the estimates were determined to be sufficient to support estimates for most States, and secondary data used to update the data are reported at the State level. COP estimates are reported for the top 5 milk-producing States and 7 of the top 10 States, as of 2000. ERS had previously reported monthlymilk COP estimates by region from Decem ber 1998 through May 2002.

The methodology used to estimate the monthly State milk COP is based on USDA annual milk cost-of-production estimates. The most recent annual estimate is the baseline, and monthly estimates are developed using indexes that reflect differences between the month and the baseline. For example, the COP for April of 2003 is estimated by indexing from the 2001 annual milk COP estimate. The indexes reflect price and other differences between annual a verage conditions in 2001 and April 2003. Annual COP estimates are updated each year in O ctober. The new annual estimates then become the baseline for subsequent monthly estimates.

For further information the web address is: <a href="http://www.ers.usda.gov/data/costsandretums/testpick.htm#milkproduction">http://www.ers.usda.gov/data/costsandretums/testpick.htm#milkproduction</a> Or, altern a tively, from the ERS web page, select data, go to Commodity Costs and Returns and click on month lymilk costs of production in the Features section.

	Weighted	Averages	- Butterf	*	in, Other al Order No	,	Somatic Cell	Count	by Stat	te	
	April 2003*								il 2002		
				We	ighted Ave	erages			Weight	ed Avera	ages
	Num ber of	Pounds of			Other	SCC	Pounds of			Other	SCC
State	Producers	Milk (000)	Butterfat	Protein	Solids	(000)	Milk (000)	Butterfat	Protein	Solids	(000)
Michigan	2,516	454,982	3.62	2.98	5.73	279	457,954	3.64	2.98	5.76	281
Ohio	2,551	340,704	3.74	3.02	5.70	310	294052	3.74	3.03	5.74	296
Wisconsin	1,880	263,103	3.69	2.98	5.75	289	444,160	3.72	2.95	5.69	275
Indiana	1,272	160,852	3.69	3.01	5.72	291	125,694	3.77	3.00	5.75	306
Pennsylv an ia	1,373	126,900	3.78	3.01	5.69	367	127,410	3.80	3.02	5.73	342
New York	478	98,627	3.67	2.95	5.71	263	61,611	3.73	2.94	5.73	256
Illinois	84	12284	3.71	3.01	5.81	295	14,133	3.70	3.01	5.76	316
West Virginia	80	6,099	3.69	3.07	5.67	393	7,098	3.65	3.07	5.74	358
California	0	0					12,152	3.56	3.23	5.55	359
Other	134	11,472	3.74	3.04	5.77	339	13,150	3.80	3.06	5.74	364
Tota l/Avera ge	<u> </u>	1,475,023	3.69	2.99	5.72	297	1,557,413	3.71	2.99	5.73	290
* Totals m	ay not add due	to rounding.	Data provide	d on a one	month delay	basis.					



**Mideast Market Administrator Bulletin** 

7851 Freeway Circle P.O. Box 30128 Cleveland, Ohio 44130 PRSRT STD U.S. POSTAGE PAID Cleveland, Ohio Permit No. 2511

POSTMASTER: Time Sensitive Material - Deliver Promptly

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or familial status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact the USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Jamie L. Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue SW. Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an Equal Opportunity provider and employer.

## FEDERAL ORDER DATA MAY 2003

Marketing Area 1/		Produ	icer Milk	Class I	Producer	Statistic a1
		<u>Tota l</u>	Class I	Percent	Price Differential	Uniform Price
		(000)	(000)	%		
FO 1	Northeast - (Boston)	2,176,919	917,357	42.1	\$1.89	\$11.60
FO 5	Appalachian - (Charlotte)	550,750	365,705	66.4	2/	12.11
FO 6	Florida - (Tampa)	261,680	207,075	79.1	2/	13.10
FO 7	South east - (Atlanta)	624,501	389,555	62.4	2/	11.99
FO 30	Upper Midwest - (Chicago)	1,936,525	346,554	17.9	0.40	10.11
FO 32	Central - (Kansas City)	1,479,058	401,090	27.1	0.70	10.41
FO 33	Mideast - (Cleveland)	1,533,495	562,542	36.7	0.92	10.63
FO 124	Pacific N orthw est - (Seattle)	642,191	180,277	28.1	0.67	10.38
FO 126	South west - (Dallas)	953,397	339,321	35.6	1.59	11.30
FO 131	Arizona-Las Vegas - (Phoenix)	283,031	81,766	28.9	2/	10.45
FO 135	Western - (Salt Lake City)	639,841	93,955	14.7	0.48	10.19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1/</sup> Names in parentheses are principal points of markets.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2/</sup> Producers in these markets are paid on the basis of a uniform skim and butterfat price.