Mideast Market Administrator's Buildetin Federal Order No. 33 David Z. Walker, Market Administrator Phone: (330)225-4758 Toll Free: (888)751-3220 Email: cevelandma1@sprynet.com

WebPage: www.fmmaclev.com

August 2005

USDA ANNOUNCES A TENTATIVE PARTIAL DECISION TO AMEND THE MIDEAST MILK MARKETING ORDER

The U.S. Department of Agriculture announced a tentative partial decision that recommends various amendments to current provisions of the Mideast Federal milk m arkeing order. This decision is based on testimony and evidence given at a public hearing held on March 7 - 10,2005, in Wooster, Ohio.

This decision adopts proposals to amend certain features of the pooling standards of the Mideast milk m arketing order on an interim finaland emergency basis. Specifically, this decision will: 1) Prohibit the ability to simultaneously pool the same milk on the Mideast Federal milk order and on a marketwide equalization pool administered by anothergovernment en tity; 2) low er the diversion limit standards; and 3) increase the performance standards for supply plants.

At a later date, USDA will issue a separate decision to address proposals to deter the de-pooling of milk, adopt transportation credits and clarify the <u>Producer</u> definition of the ord er.

The decision was published in the July 27 *Federal Register*. Interested persons have 60 days to file comments in response to the tentative partial decision. USDA is in the process of determining if producers approved the amended order.

For additional information about the decision contact: David Z. Walker, Market Administrator; USDA/AMS/Dairy Programs; P.O. B ox 5102; Brunswick, Ohio, 44212; Tel. (330) 225-4758; e-mail: dw alker@fmmaclev.com.

JOHANNS ANNOUNCES APPOINTMENT OF LLOYD DAY AS ADMINISTRATOR OF AMS

Agriculture Secretary Mike Johanns announced he has named Lloyd C. Day as Administrator of the Agricultural Marketing Service, (AMS) at the United States Department of Agriculture. The Agricultural Marketing Service includes six commodity programs--Cotton, Dairy, Fruitan d Vegetable, Livestock and Seed, Poultry, and Tobacco. The AMS dairy program oversees milk m arketing orders, and administers the dairy research and promotion programs.

"Lloyd's experience in international affairs, economic development and trade policy will be an asset in his new role at AMS, where he will help to m arket agricultural products in domestic and international markets, while ensuring fair trading practices," said Johanns. "I am very pleased thathe will quickly assume his new responsibilities in this capacity so we can better serve our custom ers and the agricultural industry." Day assumed the role of Adm inistrator of AMS on August 8, 2005. Since 2002, he has served as special assistant to the Adm inistrator of the Fore ign Agricultural Service (FAS) at USDA. In this role, he was responsible for coord in a ting all USDA activities with Mexico on behalf of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Prior to coming to USDA, Day worked as a business development director and industry marketing manager at Tumbleweed Communicationsin Redwood City, California from 1999-2002. He also served as Deputy Secretary of International Trade and Investment with the California Trade and Commerce Agency in G ovem or Pete Wilson's Administration from 1996-1999.

Day received abachelor's and master's degree from Stan ford University and a second master's degree from the University of Glasgow in Scotland. Day is a native of S acramento, California.

JUNE MILK PRODUCTION UP 5.4 PERCENT

Milk production in the 23 major States during June totaled 13.7 billion pounds, up 5.4 percent from June 2004. May revised production, at 14.3 billion pounds, was up 4.6 percent from M ay 2004. The May revision represented an increase of 0.2 percent or 26 million pounds from last month's pre liminary production estimate.

Production per cow in the 23 major States averaged 1,683 pounds for June, 76 pounds above June 2004.

The number of milk cows on farms in the 23 major States was 8.13 million head, 47,000 head more than June 2004, and 9,000 head more than May 2005. *(continued on Page 3)*

JULY 2005 POOL SUMMARY									
Classification of Producer Milk									
Percent									
Class I	497,080,576	30.0							
Class II	273,612,138	16.5							
Class III	768,991,006	46.5							
Class IV	115,880,340	7.0							
Total	1,655,564,060	100.0							
Producer Prices									
Producer Price Diffe	rential	\$ 0.61 / cwt							
Butterfat Price		1.8007 / lb							
Protein Price	2.4558 / lb								
Other Solids Price		0.1240 / lb							
Somatic C ell Adju str	nent Rate 0	0.00076 / cwt							
Statistical Uniform F	Price	14.96 / cwt							

ANNOUNCEMENT OF PRODUCER PRICES Federal Order No. 33

JULY 2005

COMPUTATION OF PRODUCER PRICE DIFFERENTIAL

			SKIM /	NONFAT	OTHER		
	POUNDS	BUTTER FAT		<u>SOLIDS</u>	<u>SOLIDS</u>	PRICE	VALUE
Class I Skim Value			487,668,661				\$ 52,326,847.35
Class I Butterfat		9,411,915				1.5810 / lb	14,880,237.62
Class I Location Differential	497,080,576						(268,037.46)
Class II SNF Value				22,923,719		0.8589 / lb	19,689,182.29
Class II Butterfat		16,326,517	22 205 255			1.8077 / lb	29,513,444.75
Class III Protein Value			22,295,257		11 220 052	2.4558 / lb	54,752,692.15
Class III Other Solids Value		04.061.701			44,229,972	0.1240 / lb	5,484,516.55
Class III Butterfat Class IV SNF Value		24,861,731		0 (0 2 0 2 7		1.8007 / lb	44,768,519.02
Class IV SNF Value Class IV Butterfat		7106216		9,683,827		0.7909 / lb 1.8007 / lb	7,658,938.79 12,796,343.24
Somatic Cell Value II / III / IV		7,106,316				1.8007 / 10	403,752.46
TOTAL PRODUCER MILK VALUE	1,655564,060	57,706,479	47,779,973		94,810,450	¢	242,006,436.76
IOTAL IKODUCEK WIEK VALUE	1,055,504,000	57,700,479	47,779,975		94,810,450	φ	242,000,430.70
O vera ges					\$14,616.08		
Beginning Inventory					145,034.47		
OS Charges					,		
TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS						\$	159650.55
TOTAL HANDLER OBLIGATIONS						\$	242,166,087.31
Total Protein Value			47,779,973	lb s	@ \$2.4558	\$	(117,338,057.75)
Total Other Solids Value			94,810,450	lb s	@ 0.1240		(11,756,495.82)
Butterfat Value			57,706,479	lbs	@ 1.8007	(103,912,056.72)
Total Somatic Cell Values							(504,54558)
TOTALS						\$	8,654,931.44
Net Producer Location Adjustments						\$	1,425,348.70
1/2 Unobligated Balance Producer Settl	em ent Fund						795,000.00
Total - Divided by Total Pounds		1	,655,564,060	lbs	0.6568927	\$	10,875280.14
Rate of Cash Reserve			, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,		(0.0468927)	Ŧ	(776,338.69)
PRODUCER PRICE DIFFERENTIAL a	at Cuyahoga County, O)H* 1	,655,564,060		\$ 0.61 cwt	\$	10,098,941.45

COMPONENT PRICES

0.7909 /lb

COMPONE	ENT PRICES		COMPUTATION OF U	NIFORM	PRICE
	Ju	ly			July
	2005	2004		2005	2004
Butterfat Price	\$1.8007 /lb	\$2.0543 / lb	Class III Price - 3.5% BF	\$ 14.35	\$ 14.85
Prote in Price	2.4558 /lb	23625 / lb	Producer Price Differential*	0.61	$\frac{1.70}{\$1655}$
Other Solids Price	0.1240 / lb	0.1048 / lb	Statistical Uniform Price	\$14.96	\$1655
Somatic Cell Adjustment Rate	0.00076 / cwt	0.00078 / cwt			

0.7042 /lb

CLASS PRICES			CLASSIFICATION OF PRODUCER MILK				
July					July		
	2005	2004		2005	2004		
Class I*	\$15.89	\$19.95		Product lbs.	Product lbs.		
Class II	13.79	14.00	Class I	497,080,576	520,455,927		
Class III	14.35	14.85	Class II	273,612,138	234,623,368		
Class IV	13.17	13.31	Class III	768,991,006	652,628,835		
			Class IV	115,880,340	79,678,018		
Subject to Location Adjust	ment.		Total	1,655,564,060	1,487,386,148		

Nonfat Solids Price

ORDER 33 MARKET SUMMARY

The Producer Price Differential for the Mideast Marketing Area for July 2005 was \$0.61 and the Statistical Uniform Price was \$14.96 for the month. The Statistical Uniform Price is \$0.60 higher than last month, and is \$1.59 lower than July 2004.

The Producer Butterfat Price of \$18007 per pound increased 20.75 cents from June 2005 and is down 25.36 cents from a year ago. The Protein Price of \$2.4558 is down 11.83 cents from last month and is up 933 cents from July 2004. The Other Solids Price in July was \$0.1240 perpound, an increase from lastmonth's price of \$0.1139 and an increase of 192 cents from last July. The Somatic Cell Adjustment rate for July was \$0.00076.

July producer receipts of 166 billion pounds were 1.7 percent higher than June 2005, and 113 percenthigher than July 2004 production of 1.49 billion pounds. Producer milk allocated to Class I accounted for 30.0 percent of the totalproducer milk in July 2005, more than the 29.7 percent in June 2005 and less than the 35.0 percent in July 2004. A total of 9548 producers were pooled on the Mideast Order compared to 9,707 producers pooled in July 2004.

The market average content of producer milk w as as follows: Butterfat 3.49%; Protein 2.89%; Other Solids 5.73% and Nonfat Solids 8.62%.

(continued from Front Page)

The Mideast Marketing Area has four states represented in the 23 major states used above. They are Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Pennsylvania. Milk production in these Mideast states during June totaled 2.1 billion pounds, up 142 million pounds or 7.1 percent from June 2004.

Production per cow in the Mideast states averaged 1,654 pounds for June, 69 pounds above June 2004. The number of cow son farms in the Mideast states was 1.3m illion head, 27,000 head more than June 2004.

THE DAIRY OUTLOOK

Summary - Brisk late spring demand for dairy products not only absorbed a spurt in milk production but generated modest June price increases. How ever, strong expansion inm ilk production is expected to persist longer than good demand. Projected seasonal price increases during the second half are quite muted. Milk production surged this spring, prodded by the strong returns of 2004 and early 2005. Output in May was more than four-percentabove a yearearlier in the 23 major States, with gains in both cow numbers and milk percow. The increase was less than two-percentas recently as January. Milk cow numbers in the 23 States have risen steadily from a February low. Compared with a year earlier, cow num bers have been fractionally higher since last autum n. Num bers in the 23 States this spring indicate that U.S. cow numbers were slightly higher than a year earlier. The gradual increase in cow numbers imply that tight replacement heifer supplies and unfavorable Western forage prospects may not be quite as restraining as earlier thought. Farms have been able to bring new expansions slowly into production, while exits remain at low levels. Even so, the response to the strong returns remains relativelym uted. Cow numbers are expected to inch higher during the rest of 2005, averaging only barely above a year earlier for all of 2005.

Milk per Cow Bounces Back - Therapid acceleration inmilk production was due to a sharp recovery inmilk per cow. The May milk percow in the 23 States jum ped almost four-percent from a yearearlier, compared with only a one-percent increase in January. The key factor undoubled ly was the progressive effect of returning to near-normal availability of bovine somatotropin (BST). By May, a large share of the cowherd had calved after the loosening of the BST restrictions, and economic incentives were strong for BST use. How ever, the pickup in spring milk percow represented more than just the effects of BST. Relative prices of milk and concentrate feeds stayed conducive to heavy concentrate feeding. In addition, weatherconditions were particularly favorable in many areas. Growth inm ilk per cow is projected to stay brisk during the rest of 2005, although the year-to-year increase this summer may not be quite as large because of stronger output last year. Forage quality problems are a potential source of weakness because of low stocks of high quality hay, water shortages in the Northw estand some unfavorable conditions during first cut. At this time however, forage problems do not appear likely to derail recovery in milk per cow. For all of 2005, milk percow is projected to rise almost 3 percent on a daily average basis.

Demand Still Unsettled - Thus far, a surge in 2005's erratic demand has forestalled price weak ness from this burstinm ilk production. Commercial use of milkfat in May rose substantially from the relatively strong showing a year earlier, with a number of products posting gains. Meanwhile, exports continue to absorb any available skim solids. Despite relatively stable, favorable economic conditions, dairy demand was brisk early in the year, weakened suddenly in March and April, and came back strongly in May. After building very sharply in April and May, weekly butter stocks as reported by the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME) fell back below a year earlier in June. Prices of both cheese and butter on the CM Eroseduring June and remain fairly firm. In addition to the likely good final use, buyers had been relatively slow to arrange second-half supplies in the face of rising output ByJune, some pipeline building had to be done. In addition, hot weather probably spurred ice cream use as well as reduced the solids content of farm milk. Whether demand can continue to absorb likely supplies is questionable. E conomic and income grow th are expected to be brisk enough to sustain recentdemand, but this year's experience implies that erratic demand is more likely. Second-half seasonal increases in dairy productand farm milk prices are projected to be quite modest, and prices may continue to seesaw. For the year, farmers are projected to receive an average price only about \$1 per cwt less than last year's record.

SOURCE: "Livestock, Dairy, and Poultry Outlook", LDP-M-133, July 18, 2005, Economic Research Service, USDA. For more information, contact James J. Miller, (202) 694-5184.

June 2005								June 2004			
Weighted Averages						Weighted Averages					
	Num ber of	Pounds of			Other	SCC	Pounds of			Other	SCC
State	Producers	Milk (000)	Butterfat	Protein	Solids	(000)	Milk (000)	Butterfat	Protein	Solids	(000)
Michigan	2241	517,005	3.49	2.91	5.75	265	482,890	3.52	2.95	5.73	288
Ohio	2,465	343,818	3.54	2.94	5.72	286	322,277	3.56	2.97	5.70	324
Wisconsin	1,711	322,658	3.56	2.90	5.79	261	280231	3.62	2.93	5.74	298
New York	518	148,168	3.49	2.89	5.69	233	152,195	3.53	2.93	5.70	258
Indiana	1230	142,585	3.52	2.91	5.74	296	139,711	3.58	2.96	5.72	315
Pennsylvania	1275	121,730	3.59	2.96	5.72	338	124,126	3.61	2.97	5.68	377
Illinois	83	10943	3.52	2.87	5.77	275	16,176	3.50	2.96	5.77	291
West Virginia	71	6056	3.61	3.03	5.74	380	5,650	3.58	3.03	5.68	415
Other	138	15586	3.53	2.93	5.77	351	28,773	3.58	2.96	5.73	268
Tota l/Average	* 9,732	1628548	3.52	2.92	5.74	275	1552029	3.56	2.95	5.72	304



Mideast Market Administrator Bulletin 1325 Industrial Parkway North P.O. Box 5102 Brunswick, Ohio 44212 PRSRT STD U.S. POSTAGE PAID Cleveland, Ohio Permit No. 2511

POSTMASTER: Time Sensitive Material - Deliver Promptly

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or familial status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact the USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Jamie L. Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue SW. Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an Equal Opportunity provider and employer.

FEDERAL ORDER DATA JULY 2005

		Produ	cer Milk	Class I	Producer	Statistical
Marketing Area ^{1/}		Total	<u>Class I</u>	Percent	Price Differential	Uniform Price
		(000)	(000)	%		
FO 1	Northeast - (Boston)	1,988,069	814,182	40.9	\$1.50	\$15.85
FO 5	Appalachian - (Charlotte)	503,917	332,705	66.0	2/	16.26
FO 6	Florida - (Tampa)	249,085	203,230	81.6	2/	17.47
FO 7	Southeast - (Atlanta)	588,307	363,020	61.7	2/	16.18
FO 30	Upper Midwest - (Chicago)	2,011,781	338,531	16.8	0.34	14.69
FO 32	Central - (Kansas City)	1,293,543	332,260	25.7	0.41	14.76
FO 33	Mideast - (Cleveland)	1,655,564	497,081	30.0	0.61	14.96
FO 124	Pacific N on thw est - (Seattle)	672,974	166,318	24.7	0.03	14.38
FO 126	Southwest - (Dallas)	892,830	319,902	35.8	1.09	15.44
FO 131	Arizona-Las Vegas - (Phoenix)	241,674	77,685	32.2	2/	14.89

^{1/} Names in parentheses are principal points of markets.

^{2/} Producers in these markets are paid on the basis of a uniform skim and butterfat price.

